Kathleen B. Jones

Mailing Address
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120

Street/Courier Address Law Department 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 973-4297 Fax: (415) 973-0516 Internet: KAB7@pge.com

May 30, 2003

VIA UPS NEXT-DAY AIR, EARLY A.M.

Roger Briggs
Executive Officer
Regional Water Quality Control Board,
Central Coast Region
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Re:

Diablo Canyon Consent Judgment

Dear Roger:

Attached please find the original Diablo Canyon consent judgment signed by Greg Rueger on behalf of PG&E. Please sign the consent judgment and send the original to Ed Weil and a cop to me. Once you have signed the document, we will begin the process of establishing the escrow accounts as required.

Very truly yours,

Kathlen Jones //cp
Kathy Jones
KAB:kp

Attachment

1	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General Ken Alex Supervising Deputy Attorney General Edward G. Weil			
2				
3				
4	1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 622-2149 Fax: (510) 622-2270			
5				
6				
7	Attorneys for People of the State of California ex rel. Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board			
8	and Bill Lockyer, Attorney General			
9				
10	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO			
12				
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. Case No.:			
14	Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board and Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of			
15	California, CONSENT JUDGMENT			
16	Plaintiffs,			
17	v.			
18	Pacific Gas & Electric Company,			
19	Defendant,			
20	1. INTRODUCTION			
21	1. INTRODUCTION 1.1. The People of the State of California, ex rel. California Regional Water Quality Control			
22	Board, Central Coast Region (hereinafter "Board") and Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State			
23	of California filed a complaint in this Court naming Pacific Gas & Electric Company as a defendant.			
24	The complaint asserts causes of action under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, the			
25	Clean Water Act, and Government Code section 12600 arising from the intake and discharge of			
26	seawater associated with the operation of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant. This Consent Judgmen			
27	is being entered as a full and final resolution of this matter.			
28				
	1.			

CONSENT JUDGMENT

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

As used in this Consent Judgment and for the purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the following terms have the following meanings:

- 2.1 "Consent Judgment" shall mean this Consent Judgment and all Exhibits attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Consent Judgment and any Exhibit, this Consent Judgment shall control.
- 2.2 "Bankruptcy Court" shall mean the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (San Francisco Division) having jurisdiction over the pending bankruptcy case of the Company, styled as In re Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM.
 - 2.3 "Basin Plan" shall mean the Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coast Region.
- 2.4 "BMPs" shall mean the Best Management Practices in the Diablo Canyon Land Stewardship Program, published by the Company in 1992 and attached to Exhibit H to the Conservation Easement (Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment).
- 2.5 "Board" shall mean the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region.
 - 2.6 "CCAMP" shall mean the Central Coast Ambient Monitoring Program.
- 2.7 "Company" shall mean Pacific Gas & Electric Company ("PG&E"), its parents, affiliates and any successors or assigns of PG&E. Any person to whom the Company conveys fee title to the Encumbered Property is a successor or assignee of the obligations of the owner of the Encumbered Property.
- 2.8 "Conservation Easement" shall mean the Conservation Easement established on Encumbered Lands pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 below.
- 2.9 "Easement Holder" shall mean any entity selected pursuant to Paragraph 8.1(a) or 8.1.(b) of this Consent Judgment to hold title to the Conservation Easement on the Encumbered Land described in Paragraph 8.1 of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.10 "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which the last of the six (6) conditions specified in Paragraph 6.1 below has been satisfied, provided, however, that the provisions of Paragraph 12 of this Consent Judgment shall become effective on the date the Consent Judgment has

been fully executed by all of the Parties.

- 2.11 "Encumbered Land" shall mean the area of land identified in Exhibit A (the Conservation Easement and all of its attached Exhibits) to this Consent Judgment. In general, the Encumbered Land is bounded to the north by Montana de Oro State Park, to the east by the ridgeline, to the west by the coastline, and to the south by southerly and easterly border of Assessor's Parcel Number 076-011-024, consisting of approximately 2,013 acres.
- 2.12 "Unencumbered Land" shall mean the area of land identified in Exhibit B attached to this Consent Judgment, consisting of approximately 547 acres.
- 2.13 "Ocean Plan" shall mean "The Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California" adopted by the State Board in 1972, as last amended.
- 2.14 "Operating Life of the Plant" shall mean as long as the Plant is continuously licensed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to generate or support the transmission of electricity where circulating water is used for cooling.
- 2.15 "Parties" shall mean the Board, and California Attorney General Bill Lockyer, and the Company.
- 2.16 "People" shall mean the Board and the California Attorney General of the State of California on behalf of the People and State of California.
- 2.17 "Permit" shall mean National Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit No. CA0003751 and California Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 90-09 issued by the Board on May 11, 1990, as modified by the Board on February 10, 1995.
- 2.18 "Plant" shall mean the Company's existing Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, comprising the existing two electrical power generating units and using up to 2,540 million gallons per day of seawater for the primary purpose of main condenser cooling. Additional or replacement power generating units are not considered "existing."

3. RECITALS

- 3.1 The Company owns and operates the Plant, which is located approximately twelve (12) miles southwest of San Luis Obispo (35°°12'44" N Latitude, 120°°51'14" W Longitude);
 - 3.2 The Permit currently governs discharges from the Plant;

 3.3 Effluent Limitation B.1(f) of the Permit contains a numeric thermal effluent discharge limitation which provides that "[t]he daily average discharge temperature shall not exceed the daily average of the natural temperature of the intake water by more than 22 degrees F (12.2 degrees C), except during heat treatment;"

- Receiving Water Limitation C. of the Permit establishes sixteen (16) Receiving Water Limitations for the Plant's discharges, including: (a) Receiving Water Limitation No. 9, which provides that "[w]aste discharges shall not individually or collectively cause...[o]bjectionable aquatic growth or degradation of indigenous biota;" (b) Receiving Water Limitation No. 11, which provides that "[w]aste discharges shall not individually or collectively cause...[d]egradation of marine communities, including vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species;" and (c) Receiving Water Limitation No. 14, which provides that "[w]aste discharges shall not individually or collectively cause...[t]emperature of the receiving water to adversely affect beneficial uses;"
- 3.5 Section A of the Board's Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for NPDES Permits establishes twenty-four (24) General Permit Conditions, including General Permit Condition No. 8, which provides that the "[c]ollection, treatment, and discharge of waste shall not create a nuisance or pollution, as defined by Section 13050 of the Water Code."
- 3.6 Finding 7 of the Permit identifies nine (9) existing and anticipated beneficial uses in the vicinity of the Plant's discharge: (a) water-contact recreation; (b) non-contact water recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment; (c) industrial water supply; (d) navigation; (e) marine habitat; (f) shell fish harvesting; (g) preservation of rare and endangered species; (h) wildlife habitat; and (i) ocean and commercial and sport fishing;
- 3.7 Discharges from the Plant are classified as existing discharges under the Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California (the "Thermal Plan"), adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") on September 18, 1975, which classification requires that the Board establish thermal discharge limits to assure protection of beneficial uses;
- 3.8 The Board agrees that the Plant's thermal discharge has always complied with the Permit's 22° F thermal discharge effluent limitation, but alleges that the thermal discharge has

10

.11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27 28 violated Receiving Water Limitations nos. 9, 11, and 14 contained in the Permit and General Permit Condition A.8 contained in the Board's Standard Provision and Reporting Requirements, based on alleged degradation of the marine habitat beneficial uses;

- The Company vigorously disputes all allegations of non-compliance with the Permit; 3.9
- The Company, under the oversight of the Board, conducted extensive analyses of the 3.10 changes in the marine environment resulting from the Plant's discharge, including Thermal Effects Monitoring Program Analysis Report (Chapter 1 -- Discharge Effects), which evaluated the cumulative effect of the discharge on receiving waters, including the impacts of temperature, foam, and shell debris. The Company also submitted to the Board a document it prepared independently of Board oversight, Chapter 2- Assessment of Thermal Effects.
- Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. Section 1326(b) ("Section 3.11 316(b)") requires that "the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact;"
- The Company, under the oversight of the Board, conducted extensive Section 316(b) 3.12 analyses of the environmental impacts of the Plant's intake structure, including impacts associated with the entrainment and impingement of marine biota. The Company submitted to the Board the results of the Company's Section 316(b) studies, including Phase 1, Part I (Entrainment Study Design -- Sampling Location), Part II (Selection of Target Organisms, Sampling Methods and Gear Testing), Phase 3 -- Sampling Plan and Modeling Evaluation, DCPP 316(b) Preliminary Draft Resource Assessment Report, DCPP 316(b) Second Draft Resource Assessment Report, Draft Evaluation of Alternative Intake Technologies, and Final 316(b) Demonstration Report.
- The Company has agreed to implement and fund various actions that will result in 3.13 enhancement and permanent preservation of coastal marine habitat, which are set forth in Paragraph 8 below, including dedication of the Conservation Easement that restricts the future development of land abutting approximately 6 miles of coastline immediately to the north of Diablo Cove and funding over \$6,250,000 for projects and monitoring to protect beneficial uses of coastal marine waters in the vicinity of Diablo Cove. Based on the Conservation Easement and funding for projects and monitoring as described Paragraph 8 below, the Section 316(b) studies referenced in Paragraph

3.12 above, and compliance with the thermal effluent limitation of 22 degrees F described in Finding 3.3 above, the Board will convene a hearing to consider renewing the Permit in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including the requirements that the thermal discharge from Plant is assuring reasonable protection of beneficial uses, the Plant cooling water intake system is in compliance with Clean Water Act Section 316(b), and both the thermal discharge from the Plant and the Plant cooling water intake system are in compliance with the narrative receiving water limitations and the General Permit Conditions contained in the Board's Standard Provisions and Reporting requirements described in Findings 3.4 and 3.5 above.

4. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over the Parties as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper, and that this Court has jurisdiction to resolve all allegations raised in, arising from, or related to the Complaint.

5. PARTIES BOUND

5.1 This Consent Judgment applies to and is binding upon the People and the Company. Any change in ownership or corporate status of the Company, including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the Company's responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

6. <u>CONDITIONS PRECEDENT</u>

- 6.1 Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 12 hereof, this Consent Judgment is expressly conditioned upon, and shall not become effective in whole or in part, until after all of the following have occurred:
- a. <u>Judicial Approval</u>. The Parties will file a motion for entry of judgment requesting that the Court enter this Consent Judgment. The Consent Judgment shall have no force or effect, and may not be used for any purpose in any action, unless and until it is entered and is final.
- b. <u>Public Utilities Commission Approval</u>. Public Utilities Code § 851 may prohibit any encumbrance of the Plant's property, including the placement of a Conservation Easement on the Encumbered Land as required by Paragraph 8 of this Consent Judgment, without

a prior written order from the Public Utilities Commission authorizing the encumbrance. If Public Utilities Commission approval for any of the terms of this Consent Judgment is necessary, this Consent Judgment shall not become effective until after the Company receives a written order from the Public Utilities Commission that (i) authorizes the encumbrances required by Paragraph 8 of this Consent Judgment, and (ii) does not impose any obligations, restrictions or conditions that would modify or conflict with the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Board agrees to support the Consent Judgment before the Public Utilities Commission as in the best interests of the People of the State of California. The Company shall provide the Board with written notice of the satisfaction of this condition in the event that the Public Utilities Commission issues an order consistent with the terms of this Consent Judgment or in the event the Company determines that such an order is not necessary.

- c. <u>Bankruptcy Court Approval:</u> The Company will file with the Bankruptcy Court an application or motion ("the Motion") for authority for the Company to enter into and be bound by this Consent Judgment and all of the terms thereof, including the establishment of the Conservation Easement pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 and the other measures specified therein, including monetary contributions, which the Complaint alleges are necessary to assure the future protection of the waters of the state and to remedy the past harms to the waters of the state. The Consent Judgment shall have no force or effect, and may not be used for any purpose in any action, unless and until an order granting the Motion is final.
- d. <u>Issuance Of NPDES Permit Renewal</u>. The Board renews the Company's Permit in accordance with Paragraph 9 of this Consent Judgment and the renewed Permit becomes final.
- e. <u>Public Comment Period</u>. The Board provides thirty (30) days notice to the public and an opportunity to comment on the Consent Judgment.
- f. Evidence of Title. The Company provides evidence to the Board and the Easement Holder that the Company will convey the Conservation Easement free and clear of all encumbrances that are superior to the Conservation Easement, except for the fee simple interest held by the Company and the leasehold interest of the existing tenant.

This Consent Judgment is a full, final; and binding resolution between the People and the Company, including for the purpose of this Paragraph the Company's officers, directors, agents, consultants, servants, employees, affiliates, parents, successors and assigns, of any violation of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, the Clean Water Act, Government Code Section 12600 et. seq., or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint, arising from any entrainment or impingement impact of the Plant's existing cooling water intake system, and any and all claims that may be associated with the Plant's cooling water thermal discharge, including alleged non-compliance with the Thermal Plan, Ocean Plan, Central Coast Basin Plan, Sections 303(g) and 316 of the Clean Water Act.

8. THE COMPANY'S RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

- 8.1 Grant Of A Conservation Easement And Approval Of Easement Holder. Within twenty (20) days of the Effective Date, a Conservation Easement in the form of Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, will be granted pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 (a) below. Any subsequent assignment of the Conservation Easement will be approved pursuant to the procedures specified in Paragraph 8.1(b) below.
- a. <u>Grant Of Conservation Easement</u>. The Company shall grant a Conservation Easement on the Encumbered Land, free and clear of all superior encumbrances other than the Company's fee title and the leasehold interest of the existing tenant, to the Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, a responsible, non-profit third party, which has been selected and agreed upon by the Company and the Board.
- b. <u>Subsequent Easement Holders And Criteria For Selection Thereof.</u> The Easement Holder shall have the right to transfer or assign its rights under the Conservation Easement to a governmental or non-governmental entity which is qualified under the Internal Revenue Code and Civil Code Sections 815 et. seq. to hold conservation easements and which agrees to enforce the terms of the Conservation Easement subject to the following conditions:
 - (1) The Assignee shall be selected in an open, public process conducted by the Board or the successor agency thereof. Approval of the Assignee shall be

based upon criteria determined by the Board, with input from the existing Easement Holder and the Company. The criteria shall include, without limitation, the following, unless the Company and the Board expressly agree otherwise:

- (i) The Easement Holder shall be an organization with extensive national and/or regional experience in holding and managing conservation easements.
- (ii) The Easement Holder, through any conduct, act or omission, shall not interfere with, impair or otherwise inhibit the Plant's operations, and shall not challenge or oppose the use of nuclear power or the continued operation of the Plant.
- (2) In the event that a dispute arises between the Company and the Board with respect to the selection or approval of the Easement Holder, the Company and the Board shall resolve their dispute pursuant to the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Paragraph 11.8 (Dispute Resolution).

c. Oversight Costs.

- (i) The Company shall make a one-time payment of \$200,000 to be used by the Easement Holder for Conservation Easement oversight costs. The Company shall not pay this amount to the Easement Holder until the Board notifies the Company that it has approved the Easement Documentation Report developed by the Easement Holder.
- (ii) The activities to be funded by the \$200,000 one-time payment shall include, monitoring, documentation and annual reporting as described in Paragraph 3 of the Conservation Easement and shall also include participating in meetings with the Company and the Stewardship Committee. Other purposes for which the Easement Holder may use the \$200,000 one-time payment include protective measures such as surveys and boundary markers,

enforcement activities including legal and court costs, and emergency measures to protect Conservation Values.

- (iii) It is anticipated that if the Easement Holder obtains sufficient additional funding, the annual report will include monitoring and evaluation of erosion and sediment discharges from and onto the Encumbered Land, and recommendations regarding erosion, sediment and protection of Conservation Values based on the monitoring information. It is also anticipated that if the Easement Holder obtains sufficient additional funding, the Easement Holder will evaluate grazing best management practices and formulate recommendations regarding best management practices and continuation of grazing after the departure of Existing Tenant.
- (iv) This Paragraph shall not limit the scope of monitoring and reporting by the Easement Holder.
- d. <u>Continuance Of Permitted Uses</u>. The Conservation Easement shall preclude any use other than Grantor's Permitted Uses on the Encumbered Land as specified in Exhibit E to the Conservation Easement.
- e. <u>Departure Of Existing Tenant</u>. If the Existing Tenant (as defined in the Conservation Easement), departs, vacates or otherwise abandons the existing grazing use of the Encumbered Land, the Company and the Board agree to re-evaluate the existing grazing uses set forth in Exhibit A in consultation with the Easement Holder to determine their continued consistency with habitat and water quality protection. Any disagreements as to the continued appropriateness of the existing uses will be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Paragraph 11.8 (Dispute Resolution).
- f. <u>Transfer Of Fee Title</u>. Nothing in this Consent Judgment precludes the Company from transferring fee title to the Encumbered Land to any successor, assign, parent, affiliate, division, subsidiary or the like, provided, however, that any such conveyance is subject to the Conservation Easement and other requirements and conditions specified in Paragraphs 8.1(a) (Grant of Conservation Easement), 8.1(b) (Approval of Easement Holder), 8.1(d) (Continuance of

Existing Uses), and 12 (Obligations That Become Effective Upon the Execution of the Consent Judgment by the Parties). If the Encumbered Land is conveyed prior to the granting of the Conservation Easement, any agreement to convey the Encumbered Land shall expressly provide that the transferee shall convey the Conservation Easement as provided by the Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment precludes the Company from transferring fee title to the Unencumbered Land to any successor, assign, parent, affiliate, division, subsidiary or the like; provided, however that any such conveyance is subject to Paragraph 8.2 (Unencumbered Land). The Company shall provide thirty (30) days written notice to the Board prior to transferring fee title to the Encumbered Land or the Unencumbered Land.

- 8.2 <u>Unencumbered Land</u>. The Company will use BMPs for the Unencumbered Land throughout the Operating Life of the Plant, or for as long as the Company owns the Unencumbered Land, whichever is longer. The BMPs for the Unencumbered Land will be defined by the Grantee and the Diablo Canyon Land Stewardship Committee, using the existing stewardship practices as a baseline.
- 8.3 <u>Marine Resource Preservation And Enhancement Dedicated Fund</u>. An escrow account and Dedicated Fund will be established in the amount of four million and fifty thousand dollars (\$4,050,000) as provided below:
- a. <u>Dedicated Fund</u>. The Dedicated Fund will be established for the purpose of preserving and enhancing marine resources, and will be administered by an entity selected and agreed upon by the Parties within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment. The Dedicated Fund may be used for, but is not limited to, monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the Conservation Easement, including uses and activities on the Encumbered Land, erosion and sediment discharge from or onto the Encumbered Land and the Conservation Values of the Encumbered Land, including terrestrial and nearshore marine intertidal and subtidal resources, but limited to the project criteria in 8.3(b), below.
- b. <u>Project Criteria</u>. The Dedicated Fund will be spent on projects that will directly improve permanent preservation, restoration, enhancement, monitoring and research of marine life, habitat and water quality in coastal waters of San Luis Obispo County, California or

on projects in coastal waters outside San Luis Obispo County to preserve, protect, restore, monitor or research marine life relating to the effects of the Plant's cooling water system. In light of the extensive monitoring data which has been collected during the last twenty (20) years, the Dedicated Fund shall not be used for projects to monitor thermal, entrainment, or impingement impacts specific to the Plant.

- c. Review And Approval Of Grant Proposals. The Company will have the opportunity to review grant proposals and provide input to the Board consistent with normal public review and comment, but the Board will make the final determination on awarding any such grants. If a special project selection advisory committee is established with members in addition to Board staff and contractors, the Company will have an opportunity to participate in that committee.
- Abalone Restoration Project. The Company will contribute \$350,000 to the Abalone Restoration Project administered by the California Department of Fish & Game ("DFG"). The Board will enter into an interagency agreement with DFG requiring that the funds provided by the Company pursuant to this Paragraph be spent in accordance with the Abalone Restoration Project's grant conditions.
- 8.5 Central Coast Ambient Monitoring Program. The Permit receiving water monitoring program will be modified in accordance with Paragraph 8.7. In addition, the Company will contribute \$150,000 per year for ten (10) years to CCAMP. In light of the extensive monitoring data which has been collected during the last twenty years, CCAMP will not be used to monitor thermal, entrainment, or impingement impacts specific to the Plant. After ten (10) years, the Company will participate in CCAMP on terms similar to other dischargers with respect to non-thermal and non-Section 316(b) issues. The Company shall provide access to the Encumbered Land, in accordance with the Company's managed access procedures, to representatives of CCAMP and the University of California for the purpose of monitoring erosion and sediment discharge from or onto the Encumbered Land and the Easement's Conservation Values, including the nearshore marine intertidal and subtidal resources. Access shall not be unreasonably withheld and shall be subject to conditions no more stringent than

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

applied to representatives of California state government.

- Marine Biology Laboratory Research Facility. The Company will continue to 8.6 make its Marine Biology laboratory research facility available to local educational and scientific groups including, but not limited to, the County Office of Education, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo and Cuesta College, for educational, scientific, and fisheries related uses, for a period of ten (10) years from the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, the Company will provide an initial start-up grant of \$100,000 and will provide up to \$5,000 per year for water and electricity for ten (10) years. The San Luis Obispo County Office of Education shall administer and manage the start-up grant and oversee the use and maintenance of the facility, with the participation of other interested users, subject to the Company's requirements for security and Plant operations and such criteria for research and education proposals as the County Office of Education may develop in conjunction with the Board and the Company. The Company and the County Office of Education shall enter into a grant contract in a form and content acceptable to the Board. Provided, that if the Board determines that use of the BioLab facility is not feasible for security or financial reasons, it may, in its discretion, and in consultation with the organizations identified in this paragraph, reallocate these funds to different projects in San Luis Obispo county that achieve goals similar to the BioLab.
- 8.7 Receiving Water Monitoring Program. The existing receiving water monitoring program under the Permit (Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 90-09) will be modified to exclude ecological studies, collection and analysis of sediment samples, measurement of water temperature, sampling of receiving water pH and dissolved oxygen, and any other requirements relating to temperature, foam and shell debris, and shall consist solely of participation in CCAMP as described in Paragraph 8.5 and participation in the State mussel watch program (described in the Permit as in-situ bioaccumulation monitoring).

9. THE STATE'S RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

9.1 Permit Renewal. The Board shall convene a hearing to consider renewing the Company's Permit following the Company's submittal of all information required by any

applicable provision of federal or state law. The renewed Permit shall remain in effect for five (5) years from the date that the Board votes to renew the Permit. After the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, the Board shall not withhold approval of any future Permit or Permit renewal, for the Operating Life of the Plant on the basis of any alleged claim concerning entrainment, impingement or cooling water discharge impacts addressed by this Consent Judgment, including alleged non-compliance with the Thermal Plan, Ocean Plan, Central Coast Basin Plan, Sections 303(g) and 316 of the Clean Water Act, and any other potentially applicable provision of federal or state law. The Parties shall not oppose the renewal of the Company's Permit or any future Permit for the Operating Life of the Plant on the basis of such claims.

- 9.2 <u>Findings</u>. Pursuant to Paragraph 6 above and except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 12 below, this Consent Judgment is expressly conditioned upon, and shall not become effective in whole or in part unless, among other things, the Board adopts a Permit renewal containing findings that are substantially the same as the following:
- a. The Board, based on the administrative record assembled with respect to the Company's alleged non-compliance with the Permit's thermal discharge and protection of beneficial use standards, and in further consideration of the undertakings to be performed by the Company pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, finds that the cumulative effects of the discharge of up to 2.5 billion gallons of cooling water per day in compliance with the Permit's 22° F thermal discharge effluent limitation fully complies with the Permit, and all relevant state and federal laws, regulations, policies, plans and procedures, including the protection of beneficial use standards, and all discharge prohibitions, effluent limitations and receiving water limitations, including Receiving Water Limitations nos. 9, 11, and 14 contained in the Permit and General Permit Condition A.8 contained in the Board's Standard Provision and Reporting Requirements;
- b. The Board, based on the administrative record assembled during its analysis of the Plant's existing cooling water intake structure, and in further consideration of the undertakings to be performed by the Company pursuant to the Consent Judgment, finds that the Plant's existing cooling water intake structure constitutes the "best technology available" for the

 purpose of Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act.

- 9.3 Reservation Of Rights. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as, or be asserted by the Company to be, a waiver of the Board's right to ensure that the Company continues to comply with the effluent limitations set forth in Section B of the Permit. In the event that the Company fails to comply with the Permit's effluent limitations in the future, the Board reserves its right to enforce such limitations under any applicable federal, state or local law, regulation, ordinance, plan, guideline, guidance document, or policy, except as expressly provided for in this Consent Judgment.
- 9.4 Access to Encumbered Land. The Company shall provide access to the Encumbered Land, in accordance with the Company's managed access procedures, to representatives of the Board. Access shall not be unreasonably withheld and shall be subject to conditions no more stringent than normally applied to representatives of California state government.

10. TERMINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 10.1 If, during the Operating Life of the Plant, for any reason any federal or state government entity, or court imposes, whether through the exercise of its discretion or as the result of a change in applicable federal, state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, plans, guidelines, guidance documents, or policies, a requirement that would require the Company to comply with a more stringent standard with respect to thermal effluent limitations than exists in the Plant's current Permit, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment, or that would require a cooling water system technology that is more costly or burdensome than the cooling water intake and discharge system which existed at the Plant as of August 2000, the Company, in its sole discretion, may elect to rescind the Consent Judgment, including without limitation the Conservation Easement, in the manner set forth below.
- a. If the Company elects to rescind the provisions of the Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph, it may do so by notifying the Board in writing of its intent to do so sixty (60) days prior to actual rescission of the Conservation Easement or other provisions of the Consent Judgment. If the Board finds that no event permitting the Company to rescind has

- b. If the Company rescinds the Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph, the State may assert any claims relating to entrainment, impingement and receiving water discharge impacts, including alleged non-compliance with the Thermal Plan, Ocean Plan, Central Coast Basin Plan, Sections 303(g) and 316 of the Clean Water Act, and any other potentially applicable provision of federal or state law that existed on or before the Effective Date, or that exist on or after the date upon which the Company rescinds the Consent Judgment, but may not assert any claims based on or arising out of any conduct, act or omission that occurs between the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment and the date that the Company rescinds the Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph.
- c. If the Company rescinds the Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph, it shall be excused from any obligation of the Consent Judgment that has not been performed as of the date it rescinds the Consent Judgment.
- d. If the State asserts any Claims pursuant to Paragraph 10.1(b), the Company reserves all rights, defenses and objections that existed on the Date of Entry of this Consent Judgment with respect to such claims.
- e. If the Company is held liable for any claims asserted pursuant to Paragraph 10.1(b), any monies paid by the Company pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deducted from the amount of the Company's liability.
- 10.2. If any provision of this Consent Judgment, including, but not limited to the Conservation Easement, is invalidated by a court, or if this Consent Judgment does not become effective due to the failure of any of the Conditions Precedent set forth in Paragraph 6, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith and use best efforts to negotiate a new Consent Judgment resolving the issues and claims resolved by this Consent Judgment, for a period of time not to exceed sixty (60) days, unless such time period is extended by written consent of the Parties, after which either party may invoke the second sentence of the dispute resolution paragraph set forth in Paragraph 11.8. No Party may unreasonably withhold or delay making such efforts to taking further actions required to be taken in order to negotiate a new Consent Judgment. To the

17.

CONSENT JUDGMENT

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Chief Counsel P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812,

and

Roger W. Briggs
Executive Officer
Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

As to the Company:

John W. Busterud Section Head, Environmental Section Law Department Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale Street - B30A P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120.

- 11.5 <u>Costs</u>. Each Party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees in the action resolved by this Consent Judgment.
- Amendments and Modifications. This Consent Judgment may not be amended or modified except in a writing, consented to and signed by duly authorized representatives of the Parties hereto, that states the intent of the Parties to amend or modify this Consent Judgment.
- 11.7 <u>Construction</u>. This Consent Judgment was negotiated by the Parties with advice of counsel and any ambiguities determined to exist in this Consent Judgment are not to be construed against any Party.
- Dispute Resolution. In the event that a dispute arises between or among any of the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall attempt in good faith to resolve any such dispute informally, for a period of time not to exceed thirty (30) days, unless such time period is extended by written consent of the Parties. If the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute, the Parties agree to mediate their dispute with a third party mediator who is mutually acceptable to the Parties, for a period of time not to exceed sixty (60) days, unless such time period is extended by written consent of the Parties. If the dispute is not resolved through informal negotiation or mediation, then each Party may pursue any other remedy available to it.

 11.9 <u>Paragraph Headings</u>. Paragraph and subparagraph headings in this Consent Judgment are provided for the convenience of the Parties; they form no part of this Consent Judgment and shall not be used as an aid in the interpretation of the contracting intent of the Parties hereto.

- 11.10 Compromise and Settlement; Arms-Length Negotiations. This Consent Judgment represents a compromise and settlement of a pending dispute between the Parties and is the product of arms-length negotiation. The Parties have read this Consent Judgment carefully and completely, have had the advice and assistance of legal counsel, and have not been influenced to any extent whatsoever by any representations or statements of fact or opinion made by any Party or its agents other than those contained in this Consent Judgment. The Parties further agree that this Consent Judgment has been negotiated and executed in good faith and without improper influence by any person.
- 11.11 Entire Consent Judgment. The entire Consent Judgment of the Parties relating to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment is contained herein. No promises, inducements, or considerations have been offered and accepted or given except as herein set forth. This Consent Judgment supersedes all prior oral or written Consent Judgments, negotiations, discussions, understandings and representations between the Parties hereto and/or their respective counsel with respect to the subject matters covered hereby.
- 11.12 <u>Authority</u>. Each person signing this Consent Judgment in a representative capacity hereby expressly warrants that he or she has express authority to legally bind his or her principal and signs this Consent Judgment in such representative capacity on behalf of his or her principal.
- 11.13 Execution. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, with each copy deemed an original, and all such counterparts taken together shall constitute one and the same Consent Judgment.
- 11.14 <u>Retention Of Jurisdiction</u>. The Court retains jurisdiction over this matter for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order,

direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Judgment. The Parties retain the right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment and take any action authorized by federal or state law not inconsistent with the terms of this Consent Judgment to achieve or maintain compliance with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment or otherwise.

12. <u>OBLIGATIONS THAT BECOME EFFECTIVE UPON THE EXECUTION OF</u> THE CONSENT JUDGMENT BY THE PARTIES

- 12.1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Paragraph 6.1 hereof, the following terms shall become effective upon the full execution of this Consent Judgment by all of the Parties:
- a. If the Consent Judgment is appealed, the Parties shall comply with all obligations under the Consent Judgment until the conclusion of the appeal except for the grant of the Conservation Easement set forth in Paragraph 8.1(a).
- b. Until such time as (i) the Conservation Easement is established pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 hereof, or (ii) this Consent Judgment fails because any of the conditions precedent to its effectiveness listed in Paragraph 6.1 above cannot be satisfied, or (iii) this Consent Judgment is terminated pursuant to Paragraph 10 hereof, the Company:
 - shall keep the Encumbered Land free and clear of any
 encumbrances other than the Company's fee title and the leasehold
 interest of the existing tenant that would be superior to the
 Conservation Easement that is to be conveyed to the Land
 Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County;
 - 2. shall comply with those obligations of the Grantor of the Conservation Easement set forth in paragraphs 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 17(b), and Exhibits C, E, F, G, and H of the Conservation Easement. Any approvals from the Grantee required under the Conservation Easement shall be obtained from the Board.
 - is not precluded by the terms of this Consent Judgment from transferring fee title to the Encumbered Land to any successor,

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

assign, parent, affiliate, division, subsidiary or the like; provided, however that any such conveyance must be subject to the Conservation Easement and other requirements and conditions specified in Paragraphs 8.1(a) (Grant of Conservation Easement), 8.1(b) (Approval of Easement Holder), 8.1(d) (Continuance of Existing Uses), and 12 (Obligations That Become Effective Upon Execution of the Consent Judgment by the Parties) to this Consent Judgment. If the Encumbered Land is conveyed prior to the Effective Date and prior to the granting of the Conservation Easement, any agreement to convey the Encumbered Land shall expressly provide that the transferee shall convey the Conservation Easement as provided by the Consent Judgment. Similarly, nothing in this Consent Judgment precludes the Company from transferring fee title to the Unencumbered Land to any successor, assign, parent, affiliate, division, subsidiary or the like; provided, however, that any such conveyance must be subject to the provisions of Paragraph 8.2 (Unencumbered Land). The Company shall provide thirty (30) days written notice to the Regional Board prior to transferring fee title to the Encumbered Land or the Unencumbered Land.

Escrow Account. Within twenty (20) days of the date on which this c. Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, the Company will place into an interest bearing escrow account the funds required by Paragraphs 8.1(c), 8.3, 8.4, the first year contribution required by Paragraph 8.5, and the start-up grant and first-year contribution required by Paragraph 8.6, the proceeds of which will be transferred, together with any earned interest less costs and taxes, into the appropriate fund within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. Any interest, less costs and taxes, shall be allocated proportionally among the individual funds.

1	d. Return Of Escrow Fu	nds. If for any reason this Consent Judgment does	
2	not become effective, all escrowed funds and interest shall be returned to the Company.		
3	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Consent Judgment by their		
4	respective authorized officers.		
5	Dotade	BILL LOCKYER	
6	Dated:	Attorney General RICHARD M. FRANK	
7		Chief Assistant Attorney General THEODORA BERGER	
8		Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX	
.9		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
10	By:		
11	Dy.	Edward G. Weil Deputy Attorney General	
12		For Plaintiffs People of the State of California ex rel. Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control	
13		Board and Bill Lockyer, Attorney General	
14	Dated:	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL WATER	
15	Datod.	QUALITY CONTROL BOARD	
16	·	logen Jugs	
17		Roger Briggs Executive Officer	
18	Dated:	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	
19			
20		Gregory M. Rueger	
21		[Title] Senior VP-Generation and Chief Nuclear Officer	
22	,		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:		
24	Dated:		
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
26			
27			
28			

CONSENT JUDGMENT

PG&E – Regional Board Escrow Agreement Diablo Canyon Consent Judgment Page 1 of 2

ESCROW AGREEMENT

THIS ESCROW AGREEMENT (the "Escrow Agreement") made and entered into as of June _____, 2003 by and among Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a California Corporation, ("PG&E"), and the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, ("Regional Board") and U. S. Bank, N.A., as Escrow Agent, ("Escrow Agent") with its office at One California Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, CA 94111.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, PG&E and Regional Board have heretofore entered into a Consent Judgment dated June 17, 2003 for the purpose of resolving a dispute concerning Diablo Canyon Power Plant's compliance with the Clean Water Act, and WHEREAS, pursuant to paragraph 12.1.c of the Consent Judgment, PG&E hereby deposits \$4,855,000 (the "Escrow Fund") with the Escrow Agent;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED:

- 1. PG&E hereby delivers to the Escrow Agent, and the Escrow Agent hereby acknowledges receipt of the Escrow Fund to be held in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Escrow Agreement.
- 2. The Escrow Agent shall hold the Escrow Fund until such time as it shall receive instructions in writing from PG&E and Regional Board to distribute the Escrow Fund to the parties identified in the instructions. Persons authorized to execute written instructions on behalf of PG&E are the Senior Vice President Generation, Gregory Rueger or the Vice President and Diablo Canyon Station Director, James Becker, or the persons holding those positions at the time the instructions are executed. Persons authorized to execute written instructions on behalf of the Regional Board are the Executive Officer, Roger Briggs or the Assistant Executive Officer, Brad Hagemann, or the persons holding those positions at the time the instructions are executed.
- 3. If for any reason, the Consent Judgment does not become effective, pursuant to paragraph 12.1.d of the Consent Judgment all funds in the Escrow Fund will be returned to PG&E.
- 4. U. S. Bank National Association General Provisions are incorporated herein and attached as Exhibit A.
- 5. Escrow Agent fees shall be paid by PG&E in accordance with the Fee Schedule attached as Exhibit B. Monthly statements and all other correspondence should be sent to:

PG&E
Diablo Canyon Materials Dept
Attn: Bill Rush
P.O. Box 117 (115/2)
Avila Beach, CA 93424

Regional Board
Roger Briggs
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

PG&E – Regional Board Escrow Agreement Diablo Canyon Consent Judgment Page 2 of 2

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Facsimile:

6. Escrow Agent is to invest the funds in First American Prime Obligations Fund (Class D). The fund's prospectus is attached as Exhibit C. Interest shall be for the benefit of Escrow Fund and shall be divided proportionately among the parties receiving the Escrow Funds when the funds are delivered to parties as instructed in item 2 above. At the time of distribution, in the event that the investment has lost principle, PG&E must deposit additional funds in the escrow account to ensure that the total initially invested, \$4,855,000, is available for distribution.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Escrow Agreement as of the date and year first above written.

By:	
	Gregory M. Rueger
Title:	SVP Generation and Chief Nuclear Officer
Address:	77 Beale Street (B32)
	San Francisco, CA 95105
Phone:	415-973-4685
Fax:	415-973-2313
Regional Box	ard /
By:	Howard Jungs
	Roger Briggs
Title:	Executive Officer
Address:	895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
	San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
Phone:	805-549-3147
Fax:	805-543-0397
U.S. Bank T	rust, N.A.
By:	
	Ann Gadsby
Title:	·
Address:	One California Street, Suite 2550
	San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone:	415-273-4532

415-273-4591